


## *The Monterey County Chapter* California Association of Marriage and Family Therapists

### March / April 2022 Newsletter



#### Benefits to MC-CAMFT Membership:

- Reduced fees at our events
- Invitation to our Members only annual gatherings
- Access to Members only Salons, which are intimate workshop offerings taught by fellow Members
- Periodic Newsletter with relevant CAMFT information and Member created writing
- Opportunity to contribute your writing to our Newsletter, including things such as a column, book review, workshop or conference review, poem, opinion piece or article
- Free advertising in our Newsletter and “Classifieds” section of our website
- Inclusion in our “Find a Therapist” website directory
- Access to Member and Announcements Forum on our website where you can seek feedback from other members and post things to the community
- Opportunity to submit a proposal to host a Salon for our Members
- Option to join us on the Board as a volunteer committee chair or ad hoc committee member
- Opportunity for MC-CAMFT to co-sponsor your workshop, so you can offer CEUs to your attendees
- Free Mentoring by experienced clinicians
- Invitation to suggest any member activity you find interesting, and we’ll consider it!

#### Benefits to MC-CAMFT Website:

- ◇ Current Member Directory
- ◇ Classifieds Page for Members
- ◇ Chapter Board Contact
- ◇ Specialized Forums
- ◇ Online Newsletter
- ◇ Networking Opportunities
- ◇ Chapter Documents Access
- ◇ Sponsorship Opportunities
- ◇ Membership Information

#### MC-CAMFT CALENDAR

##### MARCH - C.E. EVENT

**Event Type:** Online/Virtual CE Workshop

**Title:** Climate Psychology in Clinical Practice

**Presenter:** Barbara Easterlin, PhD

**Location:** Online

**Date/Time:** March 25, 2022 8:30 AM-12:00 PM

**Schedule:** 8:30-9:00 Welcome and Announcements,  
9:00-12:00 Speaker Presentation

**Number of CEs:** 3

**Pricing Categories/Respective Prices:**

Licensed MC-CAMFT Member \$35

Pre-Licensed MC-CAMFT Member \$25

Licensed Non-Members & Guests \$50



#### In This Issue...

2022 Board/Committee	pg. 2
President's Message	pg. 3
Events	pg. 4
Member Spotlight	pg. 5
Couple's Corner	pg. 6
Guest Articles	pgs. 7-14
Member Ads	pg. 15
Newsletter Deadlines	pg. 16

# 2022 MC-CAMFT Board Roster

## 2022 Board of Directors - Officers -

### President:

Jennifer Farley  
jennifer@shamanhealingmonterey.com

### Treasurer:

Susan West  
831-206-7639

### Secretary:

Rochelle Hall  
rochellehall.consult@gmail.com

here comes the sun.

## 2022 - Committee Chairs -

Membership Chair: OPEN  
Public Relations: OPEN  
Programs Chair: OPEN  
Continuing Education Chair: OPEN

**Legislative & Ethics Chair:**  
Michael Newman

**Mentorship Chair:**  
Pat McDermott, LMFT  
patmcdermft@comcast.net

**Newsletter Editor:**  
Ross E. Farley III  
rossfarleyiii@gmail.com

**Hospitality Chair:**  
Olivia Fae Stadler  
olivia.stadler@yahoo.com

**Pre-Licensed 3,000 Hr. Club Chair**  
Catherine Rodriguez

**Member-At-Large:**  
Carmen Martin, LMFT  
lovehealing@me.com

If I can survive the war  
that I battle with myself  
I can survive anything

gemma troy



### Like Us on Facebook!

Connect with your colleagues  
through the Monterey Chapter  
CAMFT Facebook page.

*Jennifer Farley*



*2022 Board President*

*Hello MC-CAMFT Chapter Members! We have kicked off this year with some really enriching socializing and networking. It was wonderful to see both familiar and new faces at our New Year gathering at Tarpy's. It was a really lovely time, and I was grateful that the weather cooperated with us, so that we had the option to gather outside. I have so missed seeing all of you, and it was just delightful! Following our New Year gathering, we held our virtual New Member Gathering hosted by Olivia Stadler. This event occurred just last week. We collectively welcomed new members to our chapter, and then we had the opportunity to catch up with one another to share both personal and professional updates. Again, it was simply a fun and delightful evening!*

*I want to highlight a few ways that you are invited to be recognized and actively involved in our Chapter. First of all, the Member Spotlight is back! Our Member Spotlight includes a photo and write up by a chapter member highlighting his/her/their work in the community. The Member Spotlight appears in the newsletter and on the website. If you are interested in being in the spotlight, all you need to do is send a headshot and a writeup to Ross Farley III, and he will add you into the rotation. You can contact Ross at: [rossfarleyiii@gmail.com](mailto:rossfarleyiii@gmail.com)*

*We are also bringing back our Member Salons! Our Hospitality Chair, Olivia, is taking the lead on organizing Member Salons. Member Salons are more informal gatherings where members of our chapter can share about a topic of interest/expertise. These are sometimes CE gatherings, and sometimes are more themed discussions/explorations. Salons are a great way to "dip your toe" into the waters of teaching with low pressure and a supportive audience. Salons are also a more intimate way to connect with your colleagues over a common topic of interest. If you are curious about offering a Member Salon, please contact Olivia: [olivia.stadler@yahoo.com](mailto:olivia.stadler@yahoo.com)*

*Lastly, if you are interested in being actively involved in the Chapter, but are hesitant to take on too much of a commitment, then we have a couple of ways you can do so:*

- 1) Become a Hospitality Committee Member- Olivia is looking for helpers to assist her in organizing more salons and networking/social type events. [olivia.stadler@yahoo.com](mailto:olivia.stadler@yahoo.com)*
- 2) Become a Nominations Committee Member- We need people who are good at spotting talent, and who like connecting with others to proactively obtain nominations for board positions that need to be filled. If this is of interest to you, please reach out to Carmen Martin: [lovehealing@me.com](mailto:lovehealing@me.com)*

*One final note, the easiest way to be active in our Chapter is to attend our events! The next one is coming up on March 25, 2022. If you haven't registered yet, make sure you do so! All of the details about Barbara Easterlin's Climate Psychology in Clinical Practice talk are in this newsletter.*

*May you be well,*

*Jennifer Farley*

## March 25th, 2022 - C.E. Event

### Climate Psychology in Clinical Practice



#### **Description:**

The goal of this workshop is to provide clear clinical guidance that helps participants identify and address the feelings and concerns our clients bring forward about the ongoing climate and ecological crisis. Participants will be provided a brief introduction to the science of the global environmental crisis, its impact on the mental health – especially among vulnerable populations (e.g.: children, those without socioeconomic advantage, women, and BIPOC groups), how to help our clients with the emotional distress this crisis evokes, how to recognize our own defenses when faced with climate-related distress in ourselves and our clients, and how to explore existential dilemmas when we consider an uncertain future. Barbara will present current social science research that helps us become more receptive to facts of climate change as well as best practices for encouraging behavior change in sustainable living. Barbara will also provide clinical skills training and experiential exercises to deepen self-regulation, self-compassion, and one's ability to remain stable and emotionally vibrant in the face of exposure to the overwhelming nature of recurring environmental disaster and breakdown. This workshop provides a hopeful stance that the climate crisis is a call to action and provides a pivot toward justice, economic and racial equality, and developing more ethical communities of care for an ailing planet.

#### **Learning Objectives:**

*Participants will be able to:*

- Describe 5 key principles of the emerging fields of Climate Psychology and Climate Aware Therapy
- Describe common emotional responses, defenses and behaviors that accompany awareness of the climate crisis (i.e. denial, dissociation,
- Explain the way in which climate crisis awareness amplifies emotional dysregulation and the application of Polyvagal Theory to identify treatment targets
- Create a treatment plan that improves agency, emotional regulation, resilience and a sense of connection to nature while at the same time helping those working in climate science and activism to avoid burnout.

**Barbara Easterlin, PhD** is a Licensed Psychologist (PSY13671) and from 2007-2020, Assistant Clinical Professor, University of California, Berkeley Psychology Department. Barbara is a mom, hiker, and licensed psychologist. She resides in Jackson, Wyoming on the boundary of the Grand Teton National Park with her husband and their terrier, Maisie.

Barbara has been a neuropsychologist and mindfulness based psychotherapist for most of her career, specializing in ways the brain regulates attention, attachment, and learning. She has a research background in the ways nature impacts mental health and encourage clients to spend time in the wild as a way to heal.

During 2021, Barbara developed a 6-hour training protocol for mental health clinicians to become climate psychology informed (Climate Psychology Workshop for Clinicians). She also has co-created a 70-hour certification program in Climate Psychology through CIIS which will launch in Fall, 2022. For more information on this certificate: CIIS Climate Psychology in Therapeutic Practices Certificate.

Barbara is a member of the Steering Committee of the Climate Psychology Alliance - North America CPA-NA provides training and education for the development of climate aware therapy skills for mental health clinicians.

Until January, 2021, Barbara offered individual and couple therapy. In addition, she specialized in the diagnosis and treatment of children and adolescents with ADHD, learning disabilities, and emotional disorders. Barbara frequently provided parenting consultation for parents of children with ADHD. She also trained clinicians on working with couples in which one or both have ADHD.

Barbara Easterlin, PhD obtained her bachelor's and master's degrees from UC Irvine in Social Ecology/Environmental Psychology, where she developed a keen interest in how places in nature positively impact mental health and human stress. A long standing practitioner of Vipassana and Tibetan Buddhism, Barbara's doctoral research on mindfulness meditators was funded by the Fetzer Institute. She completed her clinical internship at California Pacific Medical Center, Department of Psychiatry. Always interested in research as well as clinical practice, Barbara was a Research Psychologist at the University Of Washington, working with John Gottman, PhD, a renowned researcher of marital stability. As a member of the UCSF Medical Staff for many years, she performed ADHD/learning profile evaluations as part of a multi-disciplinary team and facilitated clinical and research-based Parent Training Groups for parents of children with ADHD and difficult temperaments. She also led research-based organizational and social skills training groups for elementary school age children at UCSF. Inspired by this work, she founded Authentica Center for Girls in 2003, and developed a 9-month group therapy protocol for helping girls develop positive friendships within a context of a safe and supportive group of peers ([www.authenticacenter.com](http://www.authenticacenter.com) was acquired by Sinead Broughton, PsyD in 2019).



# Member Spotlight

---

## Ross E. Farley III, LMFT (#131332)



I have been a student of psychology from a very early age. I remember curiosity. I remember wanting the name, or label, or the secret formula to explain the things in my life I could not. Thinking, all the while...“if I can just figure it out...everything will be ok”. I may not have been aware of it at the time, however, the experiences in my life have helped shape and mold the clinician I am today.

My formal training occurred at the University of California San Diego, where I received my Bachelor’s degree in Psychology. It was here where I became fascinated with psychopharmacology and the mechanisms, channels, and engineering involved in crossing the blood-brain barrier.

At Palo Alto University, my graduate program, I focused on the art and rhythm of the therapeutic experience; where I became familiar with the subtlety of timing and the importance of the therapeutic relationship.

Even before my academic endeavors I spent many years working with a number of different populations, from Autism Spectrum Disorders to Eating and Feeding Disorders. The beauty of working in mental health for almost half my life is that I truly believe in the power of the therapeutic process and how we address the things in life that are no longer functional. The root cause of the distress is my focus because it demands such intricate and tactful navigation.

As I have come to understand, emotional distress is a symptom of old burdens, old wounds, and things we carry that do not belong to us. Shedding the anger, shame, and pain of the past is a critical step in allowing us to see the possibility of something different, however brief or slightly it happens to be, and open the door to a range of feelings, strengths, and authenticities that have been locked away for so long.

Using what I have learned from various modalities, I am confident that I can help guide folks in seeking answers, in seeking truth, and in seeking healing. Therapy is a multi-directional experience that is both “top down” and “bottom up”. The way I view therapy is placing importance on both processes, seeing the value of each and allowing both processes to help me form a plan that is tailored specifically to each of my clients.

When it comes to therapy, I firmly believe in client accountability and client responsibility. When we address the things in life which are no longer working, we are making a conscious choice to do something different. If there is a desire to enact real change, and to work diligently to enact said change...I am here and ready to work alongside.

*\*I am newly licensed, proud to begin my own Telehealth Private Practice, and am accepting new clients. I offer individual, couples, and family therapy. I am also in the process of beta-testing and developing personalized coaching programs that aim to address some of the difficulties folks can experience when deciding to make changes in their lives. Please check out my website for more information about me and the services I offer: [www.rossfarleycounseling.com](http://www.rossfarleycounseling.com)*

# Couple's Corner

## Couples Corner

-offered by EFT trained therapist Amy Somers

With couples, my husband and I always refer to the Third. The Third is the foundation of the relationship; the secure attachment that all flows from. One plus one equals three, as my husband likes to say, a synergy. If something is good for a partner but not good for the Third, it gets discarded. All decisions are brought to the Third: a big career decision, a family dynamic that does not work, an emotional state that overwhelms, a fear that overrides. The Third is a super-power that feels into the collective relationship, including kiddos. What is best for the Third is usually obvious and feels right to both, centered on the greater good of the relationship.

We usually begin by encouraging the couple to dream the Third into being. What qualities does your Third embody? Ours embodies safety, nurturing, authenticity, love, adventure, growth/evolution, compassion. I could go on. The Third can be drawn, painted, described, smelled, felt, touched. It can be whatever the couple dreams up together. The dream becomes more tangible through consistent Third time. We have Chai Chat every morning, to begin the foundation of our day. We scramble about to get ready then we sit in the Third, sip our chai and check in. "How are you feeling?" we ask. "How can I support you this morning?" We may bring a tricky situation to the Third to solve together, we may just sit and express how we feel about our relationship, each other, or the upcoming day. The Third is heart-centered and feels safe. Vulnerability is the currency of the Third. It is a sacred space to share intimacy in whatever way you both decide.

One couple described this time as money in the bank that makes it easier to re-connect after disconnection. Even if one partner gets lost in their attachment of origin pattern and disconnects in an unaware fashion, there is enough money in the bank to bring them back together sooner. When my husband and I get lost in our dance (we call it The Storm), sometimes I don't even feel the Third even though I know it's there. I will call out "I don't feel the Third, I don't see the Third happening right now, but I know it's there. I have faith in the Third and I'm going to reach for you right now. Here I go, reaching for your hand to come back together. I don't want to, everything in me tells me to run and hide, but here I go anyway..."

It's like a hail Mary pass that I pray will be received. Sometimes it's a fumble, then I try a second time. "Here I come again, reaching for your hand, because I believe in the Third." My husband is good at catching on the first or second pass now, which consistently makes our Third stronger, unbeatable.

The third can also be the synergy that the whole family draws from. What is best for the (family) Third? To have kiddos see their parents in a secure relationship and deciding collectively makes it more likely they will do the same, seek the same. You can use the Third as a family. Spend time in the Third as a family, sharing from the heart space (one couple spoke encouraged the kiddos to share a thorn and a rose: one challenging time and one moment of joy from the day. Maybe the Third time is discussing a move of locale for the entire family, to decide what's best and why. Parents often leave their children in the dark. Show them the light! Show them your heart space! Teach them about the Third. I didn't even know this was possible growing up. What a beautiful environment to create and sit in as a family.

Sometimes I imagine our Third as a boat, rocking about in the planetary seas and the winds of change. We are a team sailing the boat, my husband and I, adjusting the sails and occasionally turning on the motor when the winds fail. We are co-captains and trust in the boat to bring us to shore, or to cover the big blue in between. Our boat is strong, steady, and quite lovely, has withstood many, many severe weather patterns, and uncontrollable natural disasters. We always right the boat, we always sit in the cockpit together to decide the next adventure. And, as any boater knows, there is also constant maintenance, constant repair. Never-ending tending to the Third keeps the boat alive, healthy, and ready for connection, for the next big trip. I wish you luck with your Third, or with the Third of your couples. Take it out for a spin, see what you notice. Try a different way of being in relationship that hopefully navigates for healing on an essential level. All the best.



## Learning from the frontlines of pandemic

Fernando M. Reimers, Harvard Graduate School of Education

The education imperative created by COVID-19 is to prepare students to build back better, not just to prepare them for the past. This requires innovation and change, not just restoring the pre-existing status quo. Were there any lessons learnt during the pandemic of value to understand how to innovate better, in order to build back better? This chapter examines some of the educational innovations generated in the early phase of the pandemic. Focusing on a subset of innovations documented in this report, the chapter focuses on two questions: 1) What was the focus of those innovations in the early phase of the pandemic?; 2) What conditions enabled the emergence of these innovations? It concludes with ten lessons from these case studies that could help during the recovery.

### Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic shocked education systems worldwide, negatively impacting educational opportunity. Emerging evidence documenting the educational consequences of such shock suggests that it will be profound and augment educational inequality within and between nations (Reimers, 2021[1]). The direct impact of school closures, and the indirect impact of the economic recession and increase in poverty resulting from the pandemic, as well as the health impact of the pandemic on students, teachers and their families, all constrained opportunities to learn. The protracted nature of this crisis aggravates the consequences in terms of lost opportunities to learn, deskilling of students, disengagement for students and for faculty, and for some dropout. These educational impacts will, in turn, complicate other social and economic challenges such as economic and social inequality, social inclusion, social cohesion, trust in government, competitiveness and climate change. While the negative consequences of the pandemic have received some scholarly attention, considerably less thought has been devoted to identifying potential silver linings created by the pandemic. It is perhaps understandable that, as a result of the obvious education losses for students as well as teachers, created by the pandemic this period came to be constructed, for many in the education community, as traumatic, one that we should try to recover from, and perhaps forget.

There are at least two risks that stem from an exclusive focus on the negative impacts of the pandemic. The first is that focusing solely on the educational trauma and loss experienced during the pandemic might distort, even romanticise, our memories of educational conditions prior to the pandemic. Amidst the sense of loss so many experienced when students and teachers did not meet in person or did so under conditions that greatly challenged their interactions, it might be easy to forget that before the COVID-19 crisis education systems were failing many children, who were not learning much, and certainly not much of value to help them participate civically or economically. Much of the conversation about “recovering learning loss” reflects such romanticised view of the past, before the pandemic.

The few studies which assess learning loss compare what the current cohort of students know and can do in various grades, relative to what their peers in the same grades knew and could do in the immediate years before the pandemic. What such analysis conceals is how low such levels of learning were, particularly for poor and marginalised students, before the pandemic. Whether the “learning loss” was big or small is secondary to the fact that no one was learning very much even before the loss.

The second risk of focusing exclusively on the “loss” is that it leads inevitably to try to “recover” that which was lost, which focuses our energies on the standards of the past, ignoring the fact that, if it is to be relevant, education must prepare students for the future, a future which has been significantly altered by the pandemic itself. The pandemic created new challenges, certainly for the immediate future but possibly beyond, in effect rewriting the future, and this should cause us to focus on how to help students develop the skills to address those future challenges, rather than focus on helping them gain the skills which might have been relevant even in the almost two years since the pandemic hit.

Illustrative of these rapid changes to scenarios about the future is a recent report of the National Intelligence Council of the United States, which underscores social fragmentation as the most salient challenge for American democracy, arguing that “the COVID-19 factor” accelerated pre-existing trends of social fragmentation, for example diminishing trust in government and interpersonal trust, particularly across diverse identity groups (National Intelligence Council, 2021[2]). Given these risks, educational institutions should be increasing their efforts to develop civic skills and dispositions, reinforcing trust in government and interpersonal trust, and supporting students in gaining the skills to collaborate productively across identity and other divides. Merely working to recover “learning loss”, particularly in domains which may have been deemed adequate or sufficient to prepare students to meet the needs of the past, is no longer enough. A number of accelerating trends, predating the pandemic, motivated already the urgency for new education goals. For instance, the OECD Future of Education and Skills Project had already advanced an interest in broadening the curricular goals to prepare students to participate civically and economically. This interest resulted in the expansion of the domains of student knowledge and skills assessed in PISA, beyond language, mathematics and scientific literacy, to include global competency and socio-emotional skills. Similarly, UNESCO Commission on the Futures of Education published a report in 2021 articulating an ambitious vision of reimagined education to help humanity meet the challenges of the future.

Therefore, the education imperative created by COVID-19 is, to put it bluntly, to prepare students to build back better, not just to prepare them for the past, and this requires innovation and change, not just restoring the pre-existing status quo. This urgency to innovate is the reason to ask whether there were any lessons learnt during the pandemic of value to understand how to innovate better, in order to build back better.

cont'd on pg. 8



In this chapter, I examine some of the educational innovations which were generated in the early phase of the pandemic, rapidly designed efforts intended to sustain educational opportunity at a time of much confusion for the education community. In particular, I focus on a subset of innovations which were documented as part of a collaborative effort among the Global Education Innovation Initiative at Harvard University, the Directorate of Education and Skills at the OECD, the Education Group at the World Bank, and the organisation HundRED. In looking at these case studies of innovation, which are the focus of this publication, I ask two questions:

1. What was the focus of those innovations in the early phase of the pandemic?
2. What conditions enabled the emergence of these innovations?

The premise of this analysis is that the pandemic represented a significant disruption, of unprecedented scale, which tested the organisational resiliency of education and upended many of the bureaucratic norms that govern education systems. Such disruption of education systems created a rare event in which the normal boundaries, constraints and roles that regulate the behaviour of individuals in education organisations were suspended, in this way freeing the practices and interactions among educational actors and institutions allowing new forms of collaboration leading to novel ways to teach and learn. Even as the pandemic created other, new, constraints and challenges – resulting for example from the social distancing norms instituted by public health authorities to contain the velocity of the spread of the virus, or from inadequate resources or infrastructure to rapidly shift to digital platforms -- it was precisely the existence of those new challenges and constraints, together with the temporary freedoms, which created the occasion for educational innovation.

The case studies of educational innovation

In March 2020, as the World Health Organization declared COVID-19 a Global Pandemic, I reached out to colleagues working in several international education organisations to explore ways in which we might contribute to mitigating the potential educational fallout of the crisis. Andreas Schleicher, OECD Director for Education and Skills, responded right away to that outreach and within days we had begun a collaboration to generate evidence that would help education leaders navigate the crisis. Our first effort was a cross-national survey examining the anticipated education effects of the pandemic, the strategies in place to mitigate the crisis, and the areas where education authorities felt they needed more support. The main finding of that survey was that few education authorities had, at that moment, at the end of March of 2020, a coherent education strategy for how to educate during the pandemic. Our report presented the results of that study, along with a series of recommendations for the development of an education strategy, which benefited from input from experienced senior education leaders in various countries, a 24-item check list to guide the development of a strategy of educational continuity and a process of change management that would assist education leaders in navigating the crisis. The checklist is presented in Box 2.1.

Box 3.1. Check list to guide the development of a strategy of educational continuity during the first waves of school closure

1. Establish a task force or steering committee that will have responsibility to develop and implement the education response to the COVID-19 pandemic. To the extent possible ensure those in the task force represent different constituents in the education system or school network and bring important and diverse perspectives to inform their work, for example various departments curriculum, teacher education, information technology, teacher representatives, parent representatives, students, representatives of industry when relevant.
2. Develop a schedule and means of frequent and regular communication among task force members, during the period when social distancing will be in effect.
3. Define the principles which will guide the strategy. For example: protecting the health of students and staff, ensuring academic learning and providing emotional support to students and faculty. These principles will provide focus for the initiatives to be undertaken and will help prioritise time and other limited resources.
4. Establish mechanisms of co-ordination with public health authorities so that education actions are in synch and help advance public health goals and strategies, for example, educating students, parents, teachers and staff on the necessity for social distancing.
5. Reprioritise curriculum goals given the reality that the mechanisms of delivery are disruptive. Define what should be learnt during the period of social distancing.
6. Identify the feasibility of pursuing options to recover learning time once the social distancing period is over, for example, an intensive review period during the break prior to the start of the new academic year.
7. Identify means of education delivery. When feasible, those should include online learning, as it provides the greatest versatility and opportunity for interaction. If not all students have devices and connectivity, look for ways to provide them to those students. Explore partnerships with the private sector and the community in securing the resources to provide those devices and connectivity.
8. Clearly define roles and expectations for teachers to effectively steer and support students' learning in the new situation, through direct instruction where possible or guidance for self-directed learning.
9. Create a website to communicate with teachers, students and parents about curriculum goals, strategies and suggested activities and additional resources.
10. If an online education strategy is not feasible, develop alternative means of delivery, they could include TV programmes, if a partnership with television stations is feasible, podcasts, radio broadcasts, and learning packets either in digital form or on paper. Explore partnerships with community organisations and the private sector to deliver those.
11. Ensure adequate support for the most vulnerable students and families during the implementation of the alternative education plan.

cont'd on pg. 9



12. Enhance the communication and collaboration among students to foster mutual learning and well-being.

13. Create a mechanism of just in time professional development for teachers and for parents to be able to support learners in the new modality of instruction. Create modalities that foster teacher collaboration and professional communities and that increase teacher autonomy.

14. Define appropriate mechanisms of student assessment during the exigency.

15. Define appropriate mechanisms for promotion and graduation.

16. As needed, revise regulatory framework in ways that make on-line education and other modalities feasible, and in ways that support teacher autonomy and collaboration. This includes providing school day credit for days taught in alternative education plans.

17. Each school should develop a plan for continuity of operations. As a way to support them, education authorities can provide curated examples of plans in other schools.

18. When the school provides meals to students, develop alternative means of distribution of food to students and their families.

19. When the school provides other social services, such as mental health supports, develop alternative forms of provision.

19. Schools should develop a system of communication with each student, and a form of checking-in daily with each student. Perhaps in the form of texts from teachers if parents have access to mobile phones.

20. Schools should develop mechanisms of daily check-in with teachers and school staff.

21. Schools should provide guidance to students and families about the safe use of screen time and online tools to preserve student well-being and mental health as well as provide protection from online threats to minors.

22. Identify other school networks or systems and create forms of regular communications with them to share information about your needs and approaches to solve them, and to learn from them as a way to foster rapid improvement in delivering education in the new modalities.

23. Ensure that school leaders get the financial, logistical and moral support they need to succeed.

24. Develop a communications plan. Map key constituencies, and key messages to support the execution of the education strategy during the exigency, and ensure those are effectively communicated through various channels.

The report generated considerable interest. It was discussed at virtual global convenings, as well as at forums involving a variety of education stakeholders from the same country. In a context of great need and absence of a playbook on how to face the crisis, the report served as a provocation for essential conversations between governments and teachers, and other members of civil society, in making sense of the crisis and discerning how to proceed. It was a way to generate collective intelligence in trying to discern how to educate students amidst great educational and social disruption.

Participating in these conversations impressed upon us the urgency to advance knowledge that would guide more effective actions to sustain education during the emergency. It was not enough to know what challenges governments anticipated, what needs they faced, or to provide guidance on how to develop a strategy, or to have conversations in real time in large virtual convenings, it was necessary to offer specific guidance on what kind of programmes and interventions could sustain educational opportunity during the crisis.

To meet that need, it was important to extend the circle of collaborators and we invited other colleagues at the OECD, the World Bank and the organisation HundrED. Together, we set out to document, rapidly, emerging education responses as a way to facilitate rapid exchange of that knowledge, and in this way support educational innovation globally which would contribute to sustaining educational opportunity.

Our approach was inspired in some of the basic tenets of appreciative inquiry, an approach to action research and organisational change that consists of identifying and leveraging areas of strengths in organisations, as a way to support further improvement (Cooperrider, Whitney and Stavros, 2004[4]). Our premise was that in every society, amidst the crisis created by the pandemic, there would be individuals and organisations, in government and in civil society, that against the odds were creating ways to sustain educational opportunity. These efforts represented “goodness” in a moment of crisis, and we thought that by finding and spotlighting such goodness, by focusing on those positive deviants, this would animate further innovation and improvement in those jurisdictions, and inspire similar efforts in others.

We further anchored our approach on two premises which had guided previous work of the Global Education Innovation Initiative at Harvard University. The first, that when practitioners solve problems they gain knowledge that can be valuable to solve future problems if it can be made visible and communicated to others. The second, that there are insights and nuances about how programmes and policies are implemented which are best understood by those who participated in their design and implementation. For this reason, we decided to identify innovations and work alongside with those who had led them in documenting these innovations. These would be case studies of innovations relying heavily on the perspectives and insights of the participants.

It was an audacious proposition, for sure, at a time when we were all in lock down and relying on digital technologies to communicate, to design and execute a global study of innovation when it was obviously too soon to know what was working, for whom and with what results, and to do this remotely. Our goal was simply to try to offer hope and inspiration to those who, like us, were determined to prevent the complete interruption of education, in a context in which we were learning, from our virtual conversations with colleagues in many jurisdictions discussing the results of our first survey, that many education leaders were on the edge of giving up, and governments were ready to focus all their energies on the public health emergency, declaring education something that would have to wait until the public health crisis could be controlled. To be sure, our effort was guided by the ethical imperative that education should continue against all odds, and to support this goal we sought to identify and disseminate examples of how this was being done, however imperfectly, as schools were shut down.

cont'd on pg. 10

At that time, in April of 2020, we did not know how long it would take to come up with vaccines that could help address the crisis, much less how those could be delivered to the majority of the world population. Adding to the confusion and the crisis, some political leaders denied the gravity of the public health crisis and ignored the advice of public health authorities, contributing to a wide range of responses from the public, some of which, such as not using masks and refusing to be vaccinated, worsened the crisis.

It was in that context that we set out to identify and document the case studies of educational innovation which are presented in this book. We wanted to know who had found ways to sustain some form of education while schools were closed, and how they were doing it. We used a process of convenience to identify the cases, essentially drawing on our collective networks to identify bright spots. We relied on the same networks to engage collaborators who could work with us documenting those efforts, attempting to include as authors people with first-hand knowledge of the innovations we were documenting and always basing our studies on interviews with those who designed them. We developed a common protocol to write the cases, and began in earnest to write these cases and to disseminate them in a website created specifically for that purpose. While we did not use a formal process of peer review, the drafts of the cases went through the OECD editorial and clearance process, with an editor raising valuable questions, criticism and suggestions, challenging the teams writing the cases – often a combination of an “insider” to the organisation documented alongside one of the different organisations’ representatives. The objective was to write an account supported by the best available evidence. The focus of the cases was to describe the initiatives, to identify key points for successful adaptation to different contexts and lessons learnt.

We developed this mechanism of documentation of educational innovations in the spirit of rapid prototyping, adjusting and improving as we went along. We started with a basic framework of the information that should be contained in each case study, and refined it as we began to work on the first case studies. Each case would identify the challenge the innovation was addressing, would describe the innovation, with attention to the operational detail of how to implement it, would discuss implementation challenges, describe monitoring and evaluation, and provide existing evidence on use of the innovation and impact, if available, to then discuss adaptability to new contexts, and highlight key points to support transfer and adaptation of this innovation to varied contexts.

Over the following six months, we published 45 case studies, covering a range of education responses to the crisis in 34 countries, efforts from municipal, state and national governments, from school networks, from private and public institutions. The only requirement for the cases we included in the project was that the efforts needed to have demonstrated the ability to reach children at some scale (a city, a state, a nation), and that they were providing more opportunities to learn than the alternative, which for most children at that time was to stay home and wait out the pandemic.

We also attempted to include a diversity of countries. The countries we covered varied in terms of resource level, infrastructure, size, and other characteristics. They included: Belgium, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Egypt, Finland, France, India, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Latvia, Lebanon, Liberia, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Nigeria, Pakistan, Peru, Russia, Samoa, Sierra Leone, Spain, Taipei, Turkey, Uganda, Ukraine, the United Kingdom, the United States of America, Uruguay, Vietnam and Zambia. As of December of 2021, the case studies had been accessed, collectively, by 35 000 unique viewers.

As we wrote these case studies, it became apparent that, in the midst of the very challenging conditions created by the pandemic, considerable innovation had been unleashed to find novel ways to sustain student engagement with learning while in person instruction was interrupted for all or most children. The case studies included initiatives such as using radio, printed materials, educational television, and a variety of digital platforms, with and without Internet, to sustain educational opportunity. They also included initiatives to develop the capacities of teachers to teach remotely, and to support parents as they supported the education of children at home. Some of them focused on novel ways to assess student knowledge remotely. This realisation that innovation was taking place was also the conclusion of a second cross-national survey which we conducted in May of 2020 among education authorities. Our report based on that survey concluded that the pandemic had generated an innovation dividend and that perhaps such innovation could help education systems address some pre-existing deficiencies and challenges (Reimers and Schleicher, 2020[5]).

As the months continued, countries began to diverge in their response. From the initial closures which had affected the majority of schools and schoolchildren, some countries began various efforts to reopen schools, while in other schools remained closed.

Sixteen months after we began to write these case studies, we thought it would be valuable to look back and try to take stock of what these early innovative responses to the onset of the pandemic say about how we faced the crisis.

What competencies were the focus of those innovations in the early phase of the pandemic?

The 45 innovations studied focused on a range of educational outcomes, from maintaining students’ engagement with learning – in activities of review of previously covered material – to covering new content in academic subjects, to supporting the well-being and socio-emotional development of students. This heterogeneity in early-stage innovations reflects the absence of consistent standards for education continuity strategies, and the predictable variability in attempted approaches and intended results.

In spite of this variability, most of these cases address, at least to some extent, competencies beyond cognition, recognising perhaps the salience of socio-emotional well-being during the crisis, and the foundational nature of attending to such well-being before any other form of learning could be productive. For example, Fundación Sumate in Chile, a network of second chance schools for school dropouts, prioritised the emotional well-being of students, as the foundation to meet their needs during the pandemic, and to maintain engagement with learning.

cont’d on pg. 11

In Colombia, the Alianza Educativa rapidly developed printed materials to support distance education during the school closures. Their initial focus was on the emotional well-being of the students, to then add an academic component to the materials.

In India, Reality Gives, a community-based organisation which supports low-income youth in developing English language skills, pivoted to delivering the second language curriculum online, adding a component to support the emotional well-being of students.

The Rising Academy Network on Air in Liberia and Sierra Leone, focused on delivering academic lessons via radio, embedded with health messages related to the pandemic, and to the risks students would be exposed to out of school.

What conditions enabled the emergence of these innovations?

These case studies illustrate that innovation was made possible by the entrepreneurial use of existing resources, which created new value as a result of repurposing existing assets, or combining existing resources, for instance existing digital assets, or creating novel collaborations among diverse institutions. Many of these innovations were created as rapid prototypes, to be improved as a result of feedback and experience.

For instance, Ensena por Colombia created a rapid prototype of radio education, drawing on existing education materials from various organisations with which Ensena por Colombia had pre-existing partnerships; based on those resources the team of Ensena por Colombia created 10-minute episodes. The same organisation was able to produce at fast pace one new episode per day by engaging students, usually an underutilised resource, along with teachers, in the development of new programmes. Ensena por Colombia learnt from the experience of other organisations in the Teach for All network, which had used radio education to deliver content, adding the use of a WhatsApp feedback loop in which teachers discussed the radio lessons with their students, in effect building a flipped classroom with low-cost technologies as the platform. In India, the government of Madhya Pradesh created a rich multimedia platform to support remote learning, which repurposed and remixed existing digital assets. For instance, to create a radio programme, they relied on pre-existing radio school content developed by the state as well as on assets curated by UNICEF. The platform included also a library of high-quality digital resources from existing providers such as Khan Academy, Pratham Open School, the Teacher App and others.

Among the conditions which enabled the innovations examined in these cases were pre-existing networks across schools, and in some cases across schools in different countries. For instance, the network Teach for All, a federation of national organisations aligned in goals with pre-existing experience and structures to support the exchange of information, learning across the various national organisations in the federation, and collaboration, catalysed innovation by rapidly sharing emerging innovative practices designed to teach during the pandemic.

A newsletter which reaches all teachers in that network was the vehicle through which teachers in Chile learnt that their peers in Nigeria had used podcasts to deliver content remotely, inspiring them to do the same. The rapid creation of a radio education curriculum by the Ensena for Chile organisation, spread throughout the network inspiring similar programmes in Colombia and Peru. Teach for Colombia, for example, used existing social networks of educators to help spread the programme within the network and beyond.

The cases illustrate also the power of collaboration, as the innovations involved, in many cases, the collaboration among teachers, and other stakeholders: members of the community, civil society organisations, and the private sector. To some extent the case studies illustrate the possibility of true collective leadership, in which various stakeholders come together to collaborate for the purpose of improving the performance of the education system. The challenges of achieving effective leadership are well known, one of the reasons the “system” aspect of the education system is broken, and it is somewhat counterintuitive that the context of the pandemic, in which each of the stakeholders who came together in service of the greater good was in turn more challenged, would create the occasion for out of the ordinary collaboration.

The State of São Paulo in Brazil, for instance, developed in a matter of weeks a multimedia centre, which delivered education content via TV, radio, an app and printed materials, to sustain educational continuity during the period of school closures as a result of establishing partnerships with private providers and organisations of civil society. Of particular interest is the fact that this invitation to share leadership and responsibility extended by the State Ministry of Education to some of the most influential business leaders in the state, was followed by donations of services from telecommunication and education companies, which allowed the creation of the centre, amounting to 0.6% of the annual education budget of the State. A number of different organisations collaborated in providing access to various elements of the education platform to students, for example, police officers visited the homes of the most marginalised students to deliver printed materials, and donated cloud-computing time to host the technology platform.

For example, in the State of Maranhão, Brazil, a public-private partnership enabled the development of content to support remote education of children from 0 to 6 during the period when centres were closed. This partnership focused on supporting caregivers, rather than students directly as did most of the other components of the remote education strategy of the state. The focus of the programming was to use structured opportunities that enabled caregivers to transform everyday interactions with their children into opportunities for learning and development.

In Chile, the delayed response from the national government in the early phase of the pandemic caused other levels of government, business and civil society organisations to step up, as illustrated by the partnership between Ensena Chile, a network of mayors of cities and of local radio stations, in developing a distributing radio education.

Also in Chile, the work of the Fundación Sumate maintaining socio-emotional support to vulnerable youth during the pandemic built on support they had received from UNESCO in developing a curriculum to support the development of socio-emotional skills.

cont'd on pg. 12



In India, the State of Madhya Pradesh developed a multimedia strategy of remote learning which involved the curation and repurposing of a variety of content, and collaboration among a range of national and international organisations, such as the Central Square Foundation, the Boston Consulting Group, Pratham, Khan Academy and others. In a relatively short period, this collaborative had created a rich repository of lessons and learning materials, aligned to competencies in the curriculum. Similarly, in Vietnam, the creation of a TV-based education delivery strategy relied on collaboration across a variety of stakeholders, including the national and provincial departments of education, schools and teachers, TV stations, private education companies who donated lessons and learning materials, the alignment among these many stakeholders was facilitated by the existence of national education guidelines and curriculum. Many of the cases illustrate the power of digital platforms to support teacher collaboration among teachers and administrators, within and across schools, and of education resource digital networks, in sharing practices they had found effective in teaching remotely, and in problem solving together. While there is nothing novel in the creation of professional learning communities or in shared repositories of education resources, with the forced immersion in digital instruction the pandemic also led teachers to use digital platforms to support such professional learning communities. Out of necessity, many more teachers than might have otherwise been the case learnt to communicate with colleagues on line, and relied on these online forums for the purposes of professional collaboration. For example, in Liberia and Sierra Leone Rising Academies created a radio education curriculum and lesson scripts which they shared with other organisations, using collaborative platforms such as Slack to facilitate communication among those organisations using the curriculum to exchange lessons learnt and ideas for improvements. In Colombia, the Alianza Educativa used technology to support the collaboration of 500 teachers, who were able to rapidly develop printed instructional resources for students without access to the Internet.

Ten lessons learnt from these case studies of innovations in educational continuity during the pandemic

The first most obvious lesson we drew from this collaborative work to rapidly identify and document strategies for education continuity during the early phase of the pandemic was that modern communication technologies allow networks of individuals and organisations to very rapidly identify, document and disseminate emerging educational innovations, and that this can support education decision making in a context of high uncertainty. In effect we built a global education radar that helped us identify positive deviants in defying the odds the pandemic was creating in real time. This has potential significant implications for educational policy and planning.

The fact that teachers and others in the frontline of education are capable of generating innovative solutions to address the challenges they face is certainly not novel, though it is perhaps significant to realise that these local actors can also do this in the considerably more challenging context created by the pandemic, when their own health was at risk. Ordinarily, many of these local innovations, however, take a long time to spread and diffuse; some never do.

At the same time, education policies are often handicapped by insufficient grounding on the conditions on the frontlines, a problem aggravated when those conditions are shifting. The ability to systematically capture and disseminate information about educational innovations in a matter of weeks, through the deployment of digital technologies and the articulation of networks of educators, has important consequences for the future of education decision making. It brings new meaning to the idea of the world as a “global education laboratory” from which we can rapidly learn, generating collective intelligence which can be rapidly shared to solve education challenges at a global scale.

The generation and dissemination of these case studies provided a proof of concept of a mechanism for rapid dissemination of educational innovation, relying on a rapidly organised partnership among four institutions, a rapid prototype in international co-operation, on the volunteer efforts of many collaborators in the countries who worked on writing (or supporting the writing of) the case studies, and on the technology which made communication and co-ordination feasible. For the most part, those we invited to collaborate as part of this effort accepted, even as they were facing the challenge of leading organisations and programmes with all the uncertainty which the situation presented. It is significant that education leaders facing the daunting challenge of continuing to educate during the disruption caused by a global pandemic, would see enough value in knowledge about the way in which others around the world were sustaining educational opportunity, to commit time and effort to share their own efforts in order to build a global commons of knowledge to face a crisis for which no playbook existed. In effect, technology allowed us to create a digital strategy for rapid documentation and research, and this in turn invited partners to not just be recipients of the knowledge such strategy was generating, but to be contributors and participants.

It should be noted that the stories of education continuity we documented with the case studies referred, principally, to initial responses to the crisis, those taking place during the months of April through June of 2020. As such, these innovations represent rapidly developed approaches to sustaining educational continuity. It is likely that, as the pandemic continued, and education systems had time to assimilate what they had learnt from them, that some of these innovations might have evolved in various ways, or become perfunctory as schools reopened. It was not part of this effort to follow up that evolution, or to capture innovations which were generated at later stages of the pandemic. Emerging studies of innovations that took place over a longer period during the pandemic, including beyond the initial stages of the crisis, illustrate that some of them anticipated changes in educational practice aligned with UNESCO's recent report on the Futures of Education (Reimers and Opertti, 2021[6]).

A second obvious lesson illustrated by these studies is the importance that societies the world over afforded to education. It is remarkable that in the midst of a global pandemic governments and civil society organisations would go to such lengths to look for ways to continue to educate students when they deemed teaching in school unsafe. This speaks to the normalisation of the idea that education matters.

cont'd on pg. 13

An alternative response, indeed one a number of countries opted for, would have been to put education on the back burner while governments and society addressed the most pressing aspects of the public health crisis and wait out the most acute initial stage of the crisis. The fact that societies afforded education sufficient attention to create alternative strategies to educate should not be underestimated, for it signals how much education is valued as a societal activity.

A third lesson these innovations underscore is the importance of educating the whole child. No one learns much when they are in fear, when they experience violence or when they are hungry. As a number of the case studies focused on organisations serving marginalised populations, the innovations they generated sought to provide such holistic support to students. In the United States, for instance, the Phalen Leadership Academies, a network of charter schools serving low-income, ethnic minority children, sought to create a strategy to support students which included food and nutrition, emotional support, and academic learning. Similarly, in Brazil, São Paulo's strategy for education continuity, focused on the alternative delivery of school meals to children, in addition to the academic engagement and support.

A fourth lesson emerging with the benefit of hindsight, particularly as evidence begins to surface on "learning loss" during the pandemic, is that none of these innovations, or the collective result of all innovations which may have taken place during the crisis, of which these 45 case studies are just a small sample, were sufficient to compensate for the lost opportunities to learn caused by school closures. In Madhya Pradesh, India, for example, a massive effort at building a multimedia platform for remote education reached only 7% to 10% of the learners in the state. A key issue in this consideration is which counterfactual one is using to assess the contribution of these innovations. Relative to keeping schools open, operating in the conditions in which they normally operate, these innovations and all efforts expended during the pandemic appear to have netted less opportunity to learn than students would have had, had they been able to attend school instead. A key consideration here is how necessary were the school closures, in particular the longer closures. There is wide variation across countries in the duration of the closures, suggesting that to a great extent such duration was itself a policy choice. It is also the case that the public health crisis differed across countries, as a function of a range of contextual factors, including poverty, health infrastructure and public health choices of governments and individuals. It is likely that some period of school interruption was necessitated by the pandemic, and relative to that period it appears that these innovations might have been better, at least for the students who were able to partake in the platforms which were used, than the alternative of not engaging with school curriculum at all.

A fifth lesson emerging from these studies was the great many ways in which technology could be deployed to provide opportunities to learn and to collaborate, and also the significant gaps in knowledge and skill among teachers and students to use technology effectively. While in many ways the pandemic represented the greatest global experiment in immersion in online learning, and teachers should be commended for their willingness to learn and adapt in short order, this immersion was, for many, sink or swim, making evident how little preparation teachers had previously received for the use of digital instruction.

Many teachers and administrators discovered – on the job and by force of circumstances – how much could be accomplished, for instance creating professional communities to collaborate in solving emerging challenges, using online platforms. It is remarkable how quickly prototypes of digital delivery platforms were developed, for example by the Department of Education of the City of Bogotá, or by the State Department of Education of São Paulo, in just a matter of weeks. This approach of rapid prototyping and continuous improvement of these platforms, made possible by an entrepreneurial approach of leaders in government and civil society and by the low cost of technology is a stark contrast to the slower pace at which most education bureaucracies develop and implement programmes. In part, this faster pace was made possible by involvement of high-level authorities who worked to find exceptions to the regulations, in procurement for instance, that shape the pace of implementation of government initiatives. In Liberia and Sierra Leone, Rising Academies, a network of low fee private schools, developed a twenty-week radio version of their structured curriculum which was shared and distributed widely by Rising and partner organisations throughout the world. In part, also, the collaboration among various stakeholders in the creation of responses, helped overcome some of the barriers that slow down programme design and implementation.

A sixth lesson illustrated by these studies concerns the power of collaboration among teachers to rapidly develop instructional materials. In surprisingly little time, teams of teachers divided the task of producing digital resources and, collectively, produced entire curricula that would have taken much longer for individual teachers to develop. In Chile, for instance, a team of 20 specialists from Enseña Chile was able to develop a rich curriculum of resources for radio education in a matter of weeks. In Vietnam, the Ministry of Education was able to develop a TV-based strategy of education continuity crowdsourcing the development of lessons to local Department of Education aligned to a simplified curriculum framework. Schools within the district each took responsibility for producing one high-quality lesson, this process engaged thousands of teachers throughout Vietnam in creating and reviewing lesson plans.

A seventh lesson illustrated by the case studies concerns the power of global collaboration. As teachers immersed themselves in an online environment to discuss with others the challenges they were experiencing, and to collaborate with colleagues in finding solutions to those, the constraint of place was removed, bringing teachers into contact with colleagues in a variety of schools, including in different countries. For example, the idea that a group of teachers could collaborate and develop radio education lessons, first developed by Teach for Nigeria, travelled very rapidly through the Teach for All network and inspired similar efforts in Chile, those two efforts subsequently inspired similar efforts in Colombia and Peru.

An eighth lesson emerging from the cases is the value of a coalition or organisations focused on the educational needs of marginalised children so that they are addressed. A number of the case studies resulting from this effort were of this sort, the result of organisations whose focus was on educating the poorest and the most marginalised. This focus, and their experience educating those students, drove efforts to maintain educational opportunities for them. For instance, in Chile, Teach for Chile, an organisation that works in high poverty schools, quickly realised that Internet-based options would not reach many of their children in the schools where they worked. They developed a rapid prototype of radio education lessons, which were more accessible for this population of students, and then scaled its distribution building a partnership with mayors and radio stations.

cont'd on pg. 14

Similarly, also in Chile, the Fundación Sumate, a network of second chance schools that works with vulnerable youth who have dropped out of school, understood immediately how the social distancing requirements would affect the youth they served, creating as a result a programme of socio-emotional well-being delivered via WhatsApp, which they knew would be an accessible platform to reach their students.

A ninth lesson illustrated by the cases was the need to reprioritise the curriculum, focus on competencies, and the value of interdisciplinary learning. The constraints in the capacity of the education delivery caused educators who sought to create alternative education platforms to be intentional in thinking through the competencies they hoped students would gain. It also required reprioritising and simplifying the curriculum, giving greater attention to socio-emotional skills, and rediscovering the power of interdisciplinary learning. For example, the Fundación Sumate in Chile, working with former school dropouts, realised it would need to create interdisciplinary units as a way to support the education of students in the alternative platforms for education continuity which they build based on WhatsApp. In Colombia, the Alianza Educativa reduced the time devoted to academic subjects in the distance-learning curriculum they developed, in order to increase the time to support the socio-emotional development of students.

A tenth lesson the cases illustrate is the role of information and monitoring to allow continuous improvement of the rapid prototypes developed. The novelty of the situation created by the pandemic, of trying to teach without schools, caused those who created alternative forms of education continuity to seek continuous feedback on what was working well and what needed to improve. This, along with the rapid prototyping approach followed by most innovations, allowed a rapid response to sustain education during the emergency. In Colombia, for example, the Alianza Educativa created a centralised decision-making unit, involving the management team of the 11 schools in the network, who met daily to exchange information on what was working well, and emerging needs. This exchange of information, supported by systematic surveys to students, families and teachers, produced unprecedented co-ordination and evidence-based decision making. In Madhya Pradesh, the State government relied on continuous communication to encourage implementation of the remote strategy and to learn, for instance conducting frequent large-scale videoconferences with district education officers, webinars for teachers, mass media. As part of these multiple feedback loops which were part of the strategy, teachers had to call five students daily, to check on their engagement and well-being. The State also conducted frequent phone surveys, via call centres, to obtain feedback from teachers, parents and administrators on the implementation of the strategy and its effectiveness. In Liberia and Sierra Leone, Rising Academies built feedback loops surveying students, parents and teachers on the effectiveness of the radio education programme they developed; they also provided a hotline number and an SMS number after each lesson, inviting feedback.

To conclude, the COVID-19 pandemic caused a massive shock for schools and education systems. Much of what resulted was negative, but there were positive aspects as well.

There is much we can learn about human ingenuity, society's commitment to education, teacher professionalism, the power of collaboration, and the role of educational innovation in overcoming great odds if we take the time to look for what was good during the crisis. Perhaps, as we look there, we will find the clues of how to rebuild more resilient and inclusive education systems that prepare students to invent a better future.

## References

Cooperrider, D., D. Whitney and J. Stavros (2004), *Appreciative Inquiry Handbook for Leaders of Change*, Brunswick, OH: Crown Custom Publishing, Inc.

National Intelligence Council (2021), *Global Trends 2040. A More Contested World*, National Intelligence Council, Washington, DC., [https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Global-Trends\\_2040.pdf](https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Global-Trends_2040.pdf) (accessed on 30 September 2021).

Reimers, F. (ed.) (2021), *Primary and Secondary Education during COVID-19.*, Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Reimers, F. and R. Opertti (eds.) (2021), *Learning to Build Back Better Futures for Education. Lessons from educational innovations during the COVID-19 Pandemic*, UNESCO. International Bureau of Education. Geneva: International Bureau of Education.

Reimers, F. and A. Schleicher (2020), *A framework to guide an education response to the COVID-19 Pandemic of 2020.*, OECD, [https://globaled.gse.harvard.edu/files/geii/files/framework\\_guide\\_v2.pdf](https://globaled.gse.harvard.edu/files/geii/files/framework_guide_v2.pdf) (accessed on 30 September 2021).

Reimers, F. and A. Schleicher (2020), *Schooling disrupted, schooling rethought: How the COVID-19 Pandemic is changing education.*, OECD, [https://globaled.gse.harvard.edu/files/geii/files/education\\_continuity\\_v3.pdf](https://globaled.gse.harvard.edu/files/geii/files/education_continuity_v3.pdf) (accessed on 30 September 2021).





## Job Postings



[prelicensed.com](http://prelicensed.com)

Follow Us on [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#), and [LinkedIn](#)!  
Subscribe to our [e-mail newsletter](#) for important updates and special offers!

### *New Job Listings From Prelicensed.com*

[Bilingual Child and Family Clinician-Empower Youth!](#)

[Bilingual Therapeutic Visitation Clinician-Support Youth!](#)



## Equip

[www.equip.health](http://www.equip.health)

Equip is hiring CA therapists for 20+ hours per week. All positions are salaried (guaranteed pay regardless of case-load) and come with health/dental/vision benefits, PTO, paid supervision and training, and remote work stipend. Salaries start at \$40k for 20hrs/week.

Learn more and apply at:

<https://equiphealth.recruitee.com/o/licensed-therapist>

### *MC-CAMFT Members:*

*Your ad here* can reach more than 130 MC-CAMFT members and over 30 local agencies and fellow CAMFT chapters  
Contact Ross Farley @ [rossfarleyiii@gmail.com](mailto:rossfarleyiii@gmail.com),  
or 831-313-4043 for details

## Member Ads

### *\*SERVICE FOR CLINICIANS\**

*Do you have a guided meditation, imagery exercise, grounding/containment exercise, even a conference talk audio file that is full of ambient noise or not up to the quality you'd like?*

*I can professionally master and process your spoken word files, remove most noise/s, even add the music and/or soundscape that best suits you and your clients' needs. I have been producing and mixing music for 18 years and can help you bring more dynamic elements and add life to your audio*

#### **Contact:**

**[ross@visceralrecords.net](mailto:ross@visceralrecords.net)**  
for more info, samples of work, and/or pricing





**MC-CAMFT**  
**P.O. Box 3092 Monterey,**  
**CA 93942**  
**[www.mccamft.org](http://www.mccamft.org)**

## **NEWSLETTER ARTICLES AND CONTRIBUTIONS INVITED**

Make sure our newsletter reflects your experience as a clinician in our chapter. Contribute to your newsletter through book reviews, opinions, CEU experience, events, clinical expertise, announcements, successes or other relevant information.

***Contact Ross Farley III, LMFT, newsletter editor,***  
***[rossfarleyiii@gmail.com](mailto:rossfarleyiii@gmail.com), 831-313-4043***

## **NEWSLETTER ADVERTISING**

Advertisements including classifieds and flyers must be placed prior to the advertising deadline. All ads must obtain approval by the Newsletter Editor, Advertising Chair and the MC-CAMFT Board President.

Advertisements should be submitted by email attachment as a Word document with the exact wording desired. Submission and approval for all advertisements, including payment, is due by the 12th of the month preceding publication.

## **NEWSLETTER DEADLINES**

Newsletters are published at the beginning of the month, every other month (January/February, March/April, May/June, July/August, September/October, November/December). Deadline to contribute articles and advertisements is the 12th of the month preceding publication.

### **MC-CAMFT** **Mission Statement**

MC-CAMFT is dedicated to the advancement of marriage and family therapists, to the promotion of high standards of professional ethics and qualifications of its members, and to expanding the recognition and utilization of the profession in Monterey County.

## ***MC-CAMFT is pleased to acknowledge the service of its*** **PAST PRESIDENTS**

1989 Jane Ellerbe	2003 Lois Panziera
1990 Connie Yee	2004 Mary Sue Abernethy
1991 Joan Mortensen	2005 Elisabeth Wassenaar
1992 Mark Willison	2006 Mary McKenna
1993 Katherine Weller	2007 Brenda Lang
1994 Jerian Crosby	2008 Abby Bukofzer
1995 Janis "JC" Clark	2009 Eileen Nazzaro
1996 Steve Weiner	2010 Elizabeth Ramírez
1997 Mary Jane Melvin	2011 Heather Crimson
1998 Steve Mahoney	2012 Carolyn Kelleher
1999 Susan Ross	2013/14 Cheryl Fernandez
2000 Judy Masliyah	2014/15 Emily Lippincott
2001 Barrie O'Brien	2016/19 Kristine Jensen
2002 Stephen Braveman	